

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron Letters 45 (2004) 3741-3744

Tetrahedron Letters

## Tris(pentafluorophenyl)silyl enol ethers: synthesis and aldol reactions

Alexander D. Dilman,<sup>a,\*</sup> Pavel A. Belyakov,<sup>a</sup> Alexander A. Korlyukov<sup>b</sup> and Vladimir A. Tartakovsky<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>N. D. Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry, 119991 Moscow, Leninsky prosp. 47, Russian Federation <sup>b</sup>A. N. Nesmeyanov Institute of Organoelement Compounds, 119991 Moscow, Vavilov str. 28, Russian Federation

Received 20 January 2004; revised 5 March 2004; accepted 16 March 2004

**Abstract**—Silyl enol ethers bearing three pentafluorophenyl groups at the silicon atom are described. These compounds undergo uncatalyzed aldol reactions with aliphatic,  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated, and aromatic aldehydes. The observed reactivity is analyzed in terms of the Lewis acidity of the silyl fragment.

© 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Silyl enol ethers have become popular reagents acting as the equivalents of enolate anions in a variety of processes.<sup>1</sup> However, the nucleophilicity of trialkylsilyl derivatives is not very high,<sup>2</sup> and for their efficient coupling with electrophiles, the employment of either a Lewis acid<sup>3</sup> or fluoride anion<sup>4</sup> is required.

Significant increase in reactivity can be achieved by using compounds containing a silicon atom capable of expanding its valence shell.<sup>5</sup> In this case the Lewis acidic silyl group of a reagent may serve as a template bringing together nucleophilic (e.g., enol ether) and electrophilic (e.g., aldehyde) fragments. Such behavior is usually observed when the silicon is either confined within a small ring<sup>6</sup> or substituted by heteroatomic substituents (e.g., halogen, alkoxy).<sup>5,7</sup> At the same time, it would be interesting to consider such species in which the enhanced Lewis acidity is determined by electron-with-drawing carbon-centered functions. In this respect, we decided to investigate the chemistry of silyl enol ethers bearing three pentafluorophenyl groups.<sup>8</sup> Herein we report their synthesis and application as nucleophiles in aldol reactions.

Silylation of carbonyl compounds with chlorotris(pentafluorophenyl)silane<sup>9</sup> in the presence of triethylamine occurred in refluxing dichloroethane (Table 1). The desired products **1** were isolated by vacuum distillation.<sup>10</sup>

$R^{\prime\prime}$ $H^{\prime}$ $H^{2}$ $H^{2}$ $R^{1}$ $H^{2}$ $R^{2}$ $R^{1}$ $H^{2}$ $R^{2}$ $R^{$												
Entry	$\mathbf{R}^1$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	<b>R</b> <sup>3</sup>	Time, h	Product	Yield, %	Bp, °C/Torr	Mp, °C				
1	Me	Н	Н	3	1a	55	127-131/0.4	112–114				
2	Ph	Н	Н	5	1b	77	185-193/0.9	_				
3	$(CH_{2})_{3}$		Н	4	1c	72	157-163/0.5	77-82				
4	$(CH_2)_4$		Н	3	1d	42	135-140/0.2	_				
5	Н	Me	Me	2	1e	73	137-142/0.45	55-60				

OA  $\downarrow$   $R^3$   $(C_{a}F_{a})_{a}SiCl/NEt_{a}$ 

Table 1. Synthesis of tris(pentafluorophenyl)silyl enol ethers

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +7-095-939-35-60; fax: +7-095-135-53-28; e-mail: dilman@ioc.ac.ru

Keywords: Silyl enol ethers; Silicon Lewis acidity; Aldol reaction.

<sup>0040-4039/\$ -</sup> see front matter @ 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2004.03.090



Figure 1. The molecular structure of 1a. Nonhydrogen atoms are presented by thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability. Selected bonds (Å): Si(1)–O(1) 1.614(2), Si–C (averaged) 1.866 Å, O(1)–C(1) 1.347(3) Å, C(1)–C(2) 1.482(3) Å, C(1)–C(3) 1.314(3) Å; bond angles: O(1)Si(1)C(21) 105.84(9), O(1)Si(1)C(11) 111.92(8), O(1)Si(1)C(31) 107.88(9), C(1)O(1)Si(1) 138.9(1).

The crystal and molecular structure of **1a** was investigated by X-ray diffraction analysis.<sup>11</sup> The presence of bulky  $C_6F_5$  substituents did not lead to significant distortion of the tetrahedral configuration of the silicon atom. Si–C bonds as well as O–Si–C and C–Si–C bond angles were close to their standard values.<sup>12</sup> On the other hand, the Si–O bond (1.614 Å) was considerably shorter than that in the structures of conventional trialkylsilyl enol ethers (Si–O bond 1.65–1.67 Å)<sup>13</sup> a fact, which can be attributed to the influence of the electron-withdrawing  $C_6F_5$  groups. Another feature, originating from the proximity of one of the  $C_6F_5$  groups and the methylene

Table 2. Reaction of silyl enol ethers 1 with aldehydes

 $R^{1} \xrightarrow{\text{OSi}(C_{6}F_{5})_{3}}_{R^{2}} + \underbrace{\overset{i}{\underset{R^{4}}{\overset{i}{\underset{R^{4}}{\underset{R^{4}}{\overset{i}{\underset{R^{4}}{\underset{R^{4}}{\underset{R^{2}}{\atopR}{R^{2}}{\underset{R$ 

*i*. (a) CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, r.t., 72 h, (b) NH<sub>4</sub>F/AcOH

Entry	1	$\mathbb{R}^1$	R <sup>2</sup>	$\mathbb{R}^4$	Product	Yield, <sup>a</sup> %	syn:anti <sup>b</sup>
1	1a	Me	Н	Ph	2a	88	
2	1a	Me	Н	p-ClOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	2b	86	
3	1a	Me	Н	p-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	2c	82	
4	1a	Me	Н	E-MeCH=CH	2d	88	
5	1a	Me	Н	E-PhCH=CH	2e	92	
6	1b	Ph	Н	Ph	2f	71	
7	1b	Ph	Н	<i>i</i> -Pr	2g	93	
8	1c	$(CH_2)_3$		Ph	2h	91	1:1.6
9	1c	$(CH_{2})_{3}$		<i>i</i> -Pr	2i	83	1:25
10	1d	$(CH_2)_4$		Ph	2j	72	1.8:1
11	1d	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>		<i>i</i> -Pr	2k	71	1:3.4

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yield.

<sup>b</sup> Determined by NMR analysis of crude reaction mixtures. Assignment of configuration was made by comparison with literature data.<sup>15</sup>

moiety, corresponds to the weak intramolecular C–H  $\pi$ -contact between atoms C(11) and H(3A) with the interatomic distance of 2.85 Å (Fig. 1).<sup>12b</sup>

It was found that enol ethers 1 were extremely moisture sensitive species, undergoing rapid hydrolysis back to the parent ketones. The ease of hydrolysis may be associated with facile nucleophilic attack of water at the silicon atom. Hence, it can be proposed that the tris(pentafluorophenyl)silyl moiety may have stronger Lewis acidic character in comparison with trialkylsilyl analogues.

Indeed, ethers 1a-d react with aliphatic,  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated, and aromatic aldehydes at room temperature in dichloromethane without catalyst leading after work-up to  $\beta$ -hydroxy ketones 2 (Table 2).<sup>14</sup> When substrates 1c,d were employed the aldols were produced as mixtures of *syn* and *anti* isomers with the diastereoselectivities up to 25:1 (entries 8–11). However, under standard conditions no reaction was observed between enol ether 1e and benzaldehyde. Apparently, in the latter case, the steric hindrance at the nucleophilic terminus prevents the reaction.

It is quite likely that the Lewis acidity of the silicon plays a crucial role in determining the reactivity of ethers **1**. Thus, the mechanism of the aldol coupling may involve complexation between the silicon atom and the carbonyl group followed by carbon–carbon bond formation proceeding either intramolecularly (path **a**) or intermolecularly (path **b**), Scheme 1. Path **a** implies a cyclic six-membered transition state, and from a general point of view is preferable. In addition, the cyclic model is usually encountered in uncatalyzed aldol reactions of silyl enol ethers of other types.<sup>6b,7b</sup> Nevertheless, the low diastereoselectivities observed in the reactions of **1c,d** with benzaldehyde (Table 2, entries 8 and 10) cast some doubt on the validity of path **a**.<sup>16</sup>



Scheme 1. Possible mechanisms of the aldol reaction.

In summary, enol ethers with new silyl fragments have been prepared. The presence of three electron-withdrawing pentafluorophenyl substituents imparts Lewis acidity to these species that is reflected in their reactivity toward aldehydes. Our further investigations will be aimed at understanding the exact mechanism of the observed aldol reaction, as well as at elaboration of the chemistry of other tris(pentafluorophenyl)silyl derivatives.

## Acknowledgements

This work was performed at the Scientific educational center for young chemists and supported by the Ministry of Science (project MK-2352.2003.03), Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project # 00-15-97359), and INTAS (project # 2003-55-1185). We also thank Prof. S. L. Ioffe for start-up funding and Prof. Yu. I. Baukov and Dr. V. M. Danilenko for helpful discussions.

## **References and notes**

- (a) Fleming, I.; Barbero, A.; Walter, D. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 2063; (b) Weber, W. P. Silicon Reagents for Organic Synthesis; Springer: New York, 1983; (c) Brownbridge, P. Synthesis 1983, 85; (d) Poirier, J.-M. Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 1988, 20, 317.
- Burfeindt, J.; Patz, M.; Müller, M.; Mayr, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 3629.
- 3. Mukaiyama, T. Org. React. 1982, 28, 203.
- (a) Noyori, R.; Nishida, I.; Sakata, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 1598; (b) Chuit, C.; Corriu, R. J. P.; Reye, C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 358, 57.
- Chuit, C.; Corriu, R. J. P.; Reye, C.; Young, J. C. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 1371.
- (a) Myers, A. G.; Kephart, S. E.; Chen, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7922; (b) Denmark, S. E.; Griedel, B. D.; Coe, D. M.; Schnute, M. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 7026; (c) Franz, A. K.; Woerpel, K. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 813.
- (a) Denmark, S. E.; Winter, S. B. D.; Su, X.; Wong, K.-T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 7404; (b) Denmark, S. E.; Stavenger, R. A. Acc. Chem. Res. **2000**, 33, 432; (c) Kobayashi, S.; Nishio, K. Tetrahedron Lett. **1993**, 34, 3453.
- The pentafluorophenyl group is frequently used to modify properties of boron Lewis acids. For example, see: Ishihara, K.; Yamamoto, H. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 1999, 527.
- Chlorotris(pentafluorophenyl)silane was obtained according to a literature procedure: Frohn, H.-J.; Lewin, A.; Bardin, V. V. J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 568, 233.

10. General procedure: Under an argon atmosphere to solid chlorotris(pentafluorophenyl)silane<sup>9</sup> (6.7 mmol) were successively added dichloroethane (6.4 mL), triethylamine (1.25 mL, 9.0 mmol), and the carbonyl compound (6.4 mmol). The mixture was heated under reflux for the time indicated in Table 1, concentrated under vacuum, diluted with 20 mL of dry hexane, filtered under argon, and the solid residue washed with 5 mL of hexane. The combined hexane solution was concentrated, and the residue was fractionally distilled.

**1a**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ : 1.89 (s, 3H, Me), 4.05 (s, 1H), 4.20 (s, 1H) (CsH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C,  $\delta$ : 21.2 (Me), 93.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 104.5 (t, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> = 27.4, C<sub>*i*-C6F5</sub>), 137.5 (dm, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> = 255, CF), 144.1 (dm, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> = 259, CF), 149.2 (dm, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> = 244, CF), 153.8 (CO). <sup>19</sup>F,  $\delta$ : -161.3 (m, meta), -147.9 (tt, J<sub>F-F</sub> = 6.8, 18.1, para), -128.5 (d, J<sub>F-F</sub> = 18.1, ortho). <sup>29</sup>Si, INEPT from H,  $\delta$ : -37.44. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>5</sub>F<sub>15</sub>OSi: C, 43.02; H, 0.86. Found: C, 42.95; H, 0.92.

**1b**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ : 4.61 (d, 1H, J = 2.8), 5.14 (d, 1H, J = 2.8) (CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.37–7.46 (m, 3H), 7.67–7.77 (m, 2H) (Ph). <sup>13</sup>C,  $\delta$ : 93.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 104.7 (t, <sup>2</sup> $J_{C-F} = 26.9$ ,  $C_{i-C6F5}$ ), 125.4, 128.2 (*o*-, *m*-CH<sub>Ph</sub>), 128.6 (*p*-CH<sub>Ph</sub>), 135.8 ( $C_{i-Ph}$ ), 138.0 (dm, <sup>1</sup> $J_{C-F} = 255$ , CF), 144.7 (dm, <sup>1</sup> $J_{C-F} = 259$ , CF), 149.7 (dm, <sup>1</sup> $J_{C-F} = 246$ , CF), 154.9 (CO). <sup>19</sup>F,  $\delta$ : –161.3 (m, *meta*), –147.8 (tt,  $J_{F-F} = 4.5$ , 20.4, *para*), –128.0 (d,  $J_{F-F} = 20.4$ , *ortho*). <sup>29</sup>Si, INEPT from H,  $\delta$ : –34.40. The compound was contaminated with 5% of ((C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O, which is only visible in the <sup>19</sup>F spectra (–161.0, *meta*; –146.7, *para*, –127.9 *ortho*).

**1c**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), δ: 1.82–1.96 (m, 2H), 2.18–2.29 (m, 2H), 2.31–2.41 (m, 2H) (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, 4.66 (br s, 1H, CH). <sup>13</sup>C, δ: 21.3, 28.5, 32.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, 104.6 (t, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 27.4, C<sub>*i*-C6F5</sub>), 105.7 (CH=), 137.7 (dm, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 255, CF), 144.4 (dm, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 259, CF), 149.5 (dm, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 248, CF), 152.3 (CO). <sup>19</sup>F, δ: -161.1 (m, meta), -147.7 (t, *J*<sub>F-F</sub> = 20.0, *para*), -128.4 (d, *J*<sub>F-F</sub> = 20.0, *ortho*). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>7</sub>F<sub>15</sub>OSi: C, 45.11; H, 1.15. Found: C, 44.88; H, 1.11. **Id**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), δ: 1.43–1.56 (m, 2H), 1.61–1.75 (m, 2H), 1.90–2.01 (m, 2H), 2.05–2.17 (m, 2H) (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, 4.90 (t, 1H, *J* = 3.9, CH), <sup>13</sup>C, δ: 21.9, 22.9, 23.7, 28.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, 105.1 (t, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 28.3, C<sub>*i*-C6F5</sub>), 106.8 (CH=), 137.7 (dm, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 255, CF), 144.2 (dm, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 259, CF), 149.3 (CO), 149.7 (dm, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 4.5, 20.0, *para*), -128.4 (d, *J*<sub>F-F</sub> = 4.5, 20.0, *para*), -128.4 (d, *J*<sub>F-F</sub> = 20.0, *ortho*). <sup>29</sup>Si, INEPT from H, δ: -37.93. The compound contained 10% of ((C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O along with ca. 7% of other unidentified impurities.

1e: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ : 1.59 (s, 3H, Me), 1.69 (s, 3H, Me), 6.12 (s, 1H, CH). <sup>13</sup>C,  $\delta$ : 14.7, 18.8 (*CMe*<sub>2</sub>), 104.5 (t, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 28.4, *C*<sub>*i*-C6F5</sub>), 118.8 (*CMe*<sub>2</sub>), 120.1 (CH), 137.9 (dm, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 254, CF), 144.7 (dm, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 260, CF), 149.5 (dm, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 247, CF). <sup>19</sup>F,  $\delta$ : -161.3 (m, meta), -147.8 (tt, *J*<sub>F-F</sub> = 4.5, 20.4, para), -128.6 (d, *J*<sub>F-F</sub> = 20.4, ortho). <sup>29</sup>Si, INEPT from H,  $\delta$ : -34.42. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>7</sub>F<sub>15</sub>OSi: C, 44.01; H, 1.18. Found: C, 44.15; H, 1.03.

11. Crystallographic data for 1a: Crystals of C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>5</sub>F<sub>15</sub>OSi at 120 K monoclinic, space group are C2/c, a = 18.6179(16) Å, b = 11.5282(9) Å, c = 20.9796(18) Å,  $V = 4158.4(6) \text{ A}^3, Z = 8, M = 586.34, d_{\text{calc}} = 1.873 \text{ g cm}^{-3},$  $\mu$ (MoK $\alpha$ ) = 2.62 cm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 2304; 23474 reflections were collected; 5980 reflections were unique.  $R_1 = 0.0497$ was calculated for 3256 observed reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ . Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC 229201) and are available free of charge at CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (Fax: +44(0)-1223-336033 or e-mail: deposit@ ccdc.cam.ca.uk).

- (a) Allen, F. H.; Kennard, O.; Watson, D. G.; Brammer, L.; Orpen, A. G.; Taylor, R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1987, S1; (b) The C-H bond lengths were normalized to 1.08 Å according to neutron diffraction data, see Ref. 12a.
- (a) According to CCDC, see structures DIWXOW, DOYFOM10, JODGIS; (b) Structural data of only one isopropenyl silyl enol ether have been reported: isopropenyltris(2,6-diphenylbenzyl)-silyl ether, Si–O bond 1.658 Å, Shirakawa, S.; Maruoka, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, 43, 1469.
- 14. General procedure: The aldehyde (1.05 mmol) was added to a solution of 1 (1 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mL). The mixture was stirred for 72 h at room temperature, cooled with an ice/water bath, and quenched with a solution of NH<sub>4</sub>F (56 mg, 1.5 mmol) and AcOH (114  $\mu$ L, 2 mmol) in MeOH/ water (1 mL/0.2 mL). The cooling bath was removed, the mixture stirred at room temperature for 30 min, diluted with excess ether, filtered through a glass filter, concentrated under vacuum, and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using hexane/ethyl acetate.
- 15. (a) 2a,b: Watanabe, K.; Yamada, Y.; Goto, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1985, 58, 1401; (b) 2c: Córdova, A.; Janda, K. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 8248; (c) 2d: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), δ: 1.70 (d, 3H, J = 6.6, CHMe), 2.19 (s, 3H, MeCO), 2.63–2.68 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.80–3.10 (br, 1H, OH), 4.51 (q, 1H, J = 6.6, CHOH), 5.45 (ddq, 1H, J = 1.3, 6.6, 15.4, CH=CH), 5.72 (dq, 1H, J = 6.6, 15.4, CH=CH). <sup>13</sup>C, δ: 17.7 (CHMe), 30.8 (MeCO), 50.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 68.5 (CHOH), 127.2, 131.9 (CH=CH), 209.3 (CO); (d) 2e: Fukuzawa, S.; Tsuruta, T.; Fujinami, T.; Sakai, S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1987, 1473; (e) 2f–h,j: Loh, T.-P.; Li, X.-R. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 10789; (f) 2i,k: Hoffmann, R. W.; Ditrich, K.; Fröch, S. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1987, 977.
- 16. In the uncatalyzed reaction of trichlorosilyl enol ethers derived from cyclopentanone and cyclohexanone with benzaldehyde the reported *synlanti* selectivities were 22:1 and 49:1, respectively. Denmark, S. E.; Stavenger, R. A.; Wong, K.-T. *Tetrahedron* 1998, 54, 10389.